Did a Highly Cited Doctor Say That the Government is Scrubbing COVID Vaccine Deaths?

By Vincent Iannelli, MD / May 15, 2021 / bioweapons, blood clots, COVID-19 vaccine deaths, hydroxychloroquine, Nuremberg Code, Peter McCullough, rapid cycling analysis, safety signals, Sherri Tenpenny, Trusted News Initiative, Tucker Carlson, VAERS

Why do some people think that a "highly cited COVID doctor" has uncovered some plot by the government to hide COVID vaccine deaths?



Dr. Tenpenny 21 10.7K 6:13 AM
https://[redacted]/2021/04/30/highly-cited-covid-doctor-comes-to-stunning-conclusion-govt-scrubbing-unprecedented-numbers-of-injection-related-deaths/
[redacted]
Highly cited COVID doctor comes to stunning conclusion: Gov't 'scrubbing unprecedented numbers' of injection-related deaths
3,544 deaths and 12,6?? serious injuries reported between Dec. 14, 2020 and April 23, 2021 One of the world's most prominent medical doctors with expertise in treating COVID-19 has gone on th...

It might be time to question where your career is headed if Sherri Tenpenny thinks you are one of the "world's most prominent medical doctors"…

The usual suspects…

Did a Highly Cited Doctor Say That the Government is Scrubbing COVID Vaccine Deaths?

As you have likely suspected, there is a lot wrong with these claims though.

> *"One of the world's most prominent medical doctors with expertise in treating COVID-19 has gone on the record with a scathing rebuke of the U.S. government's approach to fighting the virus."*
>
> *Highly cited COVID doctor comes to stunning conclusion: Gov't 'scrubbing unprecedented numbers' of injection-related deaths*

Who is this "highly cited" COVID doctor?

A "highly cited" COVID doctor whose own replies and articles make up many of the citations for his article outlining his rationale for the outpatient treatment for COVID-19 infections? A treatment guideline that actually received very few citations?



Infectious Diseases Society of America **guidelines** on the **treatment** and management of patients with **COVID-19**
A Bhimraj, RL Morgan, AH Shumaker… - Clinical Infectious …, 2020 - academic.oup.com
Background There are many pharmacologic therapies that are being used or considered for **treatment** of coronavirus disease 2019 (**COVID**-19). There is a need for frequently updated practice guidelines on their use, based on critical evaluation of rapidly emerging literature …
Cited by 439 Related articles All 52 versions

[HTML] Pathophysiological basis and rationale for early **outpatient treatment** of SARS-CoV-2 (**COVID-19**) infection
PA **McCullough**, RJ Kelly, G Ruocco, E Lerma… - The American journal of …, 2020 - Elsevier
Approximately 9 months of the SARS-CoV-2 virus spreading across the globe has led to widespread COVID-19 acute hospitalizations and death. The rapidity and highly communicable nature of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak has hampered the design and execution …
Cited by 39 Related articles All 16 versions

In addition to his own citations, many others were folks calling his treatment algorithm dangerous!

Is he really "one of the world's most prominent medical doctors with expertise in treating COVID-19?"

Uh, no, he's not.

The doctor in question is Peter McCullough, a cardiologist without any special infectious disease training or expertise in vaccines.

A cardiologist who throughout the pandemic had been pushing a cocktail approach to treating COVID-19, with monoclonal antibodies, zinc, vitamin D, vitamin C, hydroxychloroquine, ivermectim, azithromycin, doxycycline, inhaled budesonide, prednisone, colchicine, and aspirin, etc.

A cocktail approach that has been shown to be ineffective, except for the use of monoclonal antibodies.

And now, apparently, Peter McCullough has moved on to talking about COVID vaccines.

Echoing the advice of Joe Rogan, he recently said that "People under 50 who fundamentally have no health risks, there's no scientific rationale for them to ever become vaccinated."

Peter McCullough has gone so far as to believe that the COVID-19 vaccines should be taken off the market because there are too many reports of COVID vaccine deaths.

Where?

There are too many reports of COVID vaccine deaths in VAERS.

You would think that someone with a Master of Public Health and a degree in General Epidemiology would understand how VAERS works, right?

And rapid cycling analysis?

erican
PETER McCULLOUGH
Medical Doctor
Master of Public Health

Is Peter McCullough talking about COVID vaccine deaths because he is upset that everyone thought his COVID treatment plan was dangerous and didn't work?

Instead, Peter McCullough:

- believes that the impact of COVID-19 vaccines will be less than 1% because he says that the attack rate in the original clinical trials was less than 1%
- pushes the idea of artificially low vaccine efficacy rates… has he seen the reports about breakthrough infections?
- brings up the 1976 swine flu pandemic, saying the program was killed after 500 cases of GBS and 25 deaths, except for the fact that there was no evidence of swine flu transmission and that year had more to do with ending the program early more than anything else
- tries to compare yearly flu vaccine deaths in VAERS to COVID-19 vaccine deaths in VAERS, apparently not understanding that COVID-19 vaccines initially went to older folks with the highest mortality rates
- pushes the idea of a "scrubbing" of COVID vaccine deaths and that the Trusted News Initiative, instead of combating fake news and misinformation, is somehow hiding the "truth" about COVID-19 vaccines because they are worried about vaccine hesitancy. I guess that's why they didn't publish stories about anything negative about vaccines, like blood clots and the Janssen vaccine pause?!? Oh wait, they did…
- says that the risk of blood clots from the mRNA vaccines are 30 times that of the J&J vaccine, even though those vaccines don't have any extra risk of blood clots and natural COVID infections have high risk of blood clots!
- repeats the myth that 60 to 70% of COVID patients are vaccinated
- states that the COVID-19 vaccines only provide narrow immunity against the original wild strain of SARS-CoV-2, I guess missing all of the studies that have shown that they provide immunity against most of the new variants
- misinterprets why a COVID-19 vaccine trial in Australia was stopped after participants developed false positive HIV tests, stating that it was because "code for the virus, parts of the code that were attempted to be used in an Australian vaccine turned HIV tests positive." In reality, it was not the code for SARS-CoV-2 virus, but part of a HIV virus protein that caused the problem with that vaccine.
- pushes the idea that vaccines may be dangerous or are wasted on people who have already had COVID-19, even though studies are showing that they have an even greater immune response that folks who were uninfected
- has testified before the HHS committee that India has few COVID-19 deaths because they give everyone hydroxychloroquine first line with other drugs, which doesn't explain all of the deaths in their new COVID wave…
- even brings up the Nuremberg Code…
- and of course, Peter McCullough thinks that the SARS-CoV-2 virus is a manufactured virus, that Dr. Fauci was "at the scene of the crime," and that this was "probably bioterrorism that went wrong…"

Maybe he should have stuck with cardiology.

> *"The United States government has made a decision, along with the stakeholders, the CDC, NIH, FDA, Big Pharma, World Health Organization, Gates Foundation – they have made a commitment to mass vaccination as a solution to the COVID-19 pandemic and we are going to really be witness to what's going to happen in history. We are sitting on, right now, the biggest number of vaccine deaths, there have been tens of thousands of hospitalizations, all attributable to the vaccine, and going strong."*
>
> *Peter McCullough*

Or at the very least, maybe he can stop telling people that he graduated from UT Southwestern Medical School in Dallas!

> *"Right now, the vaccine program is voluntary. That's one of the problems. I think it should take a doctor's order. Because at least then we could hold doctors feet to the fire with respect to safety, efficacy, and liability."*
>
> *Peter McCullough*

As a fellow alum, I have to say that I am embarrassed that my alma mater could have graduated someone who is doing so much damage during a global pandemic.

> *"We have doctors who are off the rails."*
>
> *Peter McCullough*

And I'm starting to understand how graduates of MIT (Stephanie Seneff), Dartmouth (Paul Thomas, Christiane Northrup), Harvard (Tetyana Obukhanych), and NYU (Larry Palevsky, Kelly Brogan) feel…



Discussing vaccine safety, McCullough told Carlson he is not recommending pregnant women get the vaccine.

"We have no information on safety and we have no information on efficacy. It violates a simple medical practice principle, we don't use things where we don't have a signal of benefit or acceptable safety. We don't do it."

Peter McCullough has settled in nicely with the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS), Tucker Carlson, and Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., etc.

More than anything though, I'm beyond frustrated that "we" do nothing as all of these doctors who are off the rails continue their "panic prescribing" and prescription hype and now spread misinformation and propaganda that scares people away from getting vaccinated and protected.

More on Peter McCullough and COVID Vaccine Deaths

- **It's Not Just the Disinformation Dozen**
- **About Those Urgent COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Warnings**
- **Who Are the Real COVID-19 Experts?**
- **Why There is Still So Much COVID-19 Confusion**
- **Why Do Some People Still Think Hydroxychloroquine Works for COVID-19?**
- **The Latest COVID-19 Treatment Regimens**
- **COVID-19 Vaccines for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Moms**
- **The AV Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic**
- **More Questions to Help You Become a Vaccine Skeptic**
- Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines
- COVID-19 Therapeutics Prioritized for Testing in Clinical Trials
- CURE Drug Repurposing Collaboratory
- Study of the Treatment and Outcomes in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 (STOP-COVID)
- CORONA (COvid19 Registry of Off-label & New Agents) Project
- Reflections on the 1976 Swine Flu Vaccination Program
- ~~Highly cited COVID doctor comes to stunning conclusion: Gov't 'scrubbing unprecedented numbers' of injection-related deaths~~
- ~~'TRUTH' With RFK, Jr. and Dr. Peter McCullough: The Age of COVID and Abrogation of Hippocratic Oath~~
- ~~North Texas Doctor Recovers from COVID-19 Using Hydroxychloroquine~~
- US cardiologist makes false claims about Covid-19 vaccination
- *The American Journal of Medicine* didn't recommend hydroxychloroquine as a treatment for COVID-19; scientific evidence doesn't show hydroxychloroquine is effective against COVID-19
- Dr Peter McCullough Shares COVID-19 Vaccine Misinfo In Texas Senate
- The Vaccine Safety Datalink: successes and challenges monitoring vaccine safety
- Comments on the Pathophysiological Basis and Rationale for Early Treatment of COVID-19
- An incomplete list of COVID-19 quackery
- Yale epidemiologist Harvey Risch defends hydroxychloroquine in Newsweek—badly
- 'Panic Prescribing' Untested Coronavirus Treatments: A Danger To Patients Today and Tomorrow
- Web Of 'Wellness' Doctors Promote Injections Of Unproven Coronavirus Treatment
- ISSCR Statement Regarding the Marketing of Unproven Stem Cell Treatments for COVID-19
- Investigating the coronavirus: The 'Wild West' of unproven treatments
- Don't Fall for This Video: Hydroxychloroquine Is Not a COVID-19 Cure

Share this:

Facebook Twitter Reddit Print LinkedIn Pinterest Email Tumblr
WhatsApp

Like this:

★ Like

One blogger likes this.

Related



COVID Shedding Has Now Become Something is Being Transmitted
May 15, 2021
In "Vaccine Fact Check"



Were African Leaders Murdered for Opposing the COVID-19 Vaccine?
May 2, 2021
In "Vaccine Fact Check"



The Anthony Fauci Emails
June 6, 2021
In "Vaccine Fact Check"

← Previous Post Next Post →

19 thoughts on "Did a Highly Cited Doctor Say That the Government is Scrubbing COVID Vaccine Deaths?"

HH
MAY 13, 2021 AT 2:04 PM

Ok but all this article did is attack that mans character… You didn't refute or dispute or fact check any of his claims.

★ Loading...

Reply

XOML
JUNE 8, 2021 AT 9:03 PM

Thank you!

★ Loading...

Reply

JERRY
MAY 14, 2021 AT 6:20 AM

"The doctor in question is Peter McCullough, a cardiologist without any special infectious disease training or expertise in vaccines." Does Vincent Iannelli, M.D, a pediatrician, have any special infectious disease training or expertise in ?

★ Loading...

Reply

CCRRT
AUGUST 2, 2021 AT 12:46 PM

Dr. Peter McCullough is one of the most published MD's in the US. I'm sure he knows much about infectious disease.

★ Loading...

Reply

JOSH MAZER
MAY 17, 2021 AT 8:38 AM

After receiving a bachelor's degree from Baylor University, Dr. McCullough completed his medical degree as an Alpha Omega Alpha graduate from the University of Texas Southwestern Medical School. He went on to complete his internal medicine residency at the University of Washington, cardiology fellowship including service as Chief Fellow at William Beaumont Hospital, and master's degree in public health at the University of Michigan. Dr. McCullough is a practicing internist and cardiologist and Professor of Medicine at Texas A & M College of Medicine.

Dr. McCullough has broadly published on a range of topics in medicine with > 1000 publications and > 600 citations in the National Library of Medicine. His works include the "Interface between Renal Disease and Cardiovascular Illness" in Braunwald's Heart Disease Textbook. Dr. McCullough is a founder and current president of the Cardiorenal Society of America, an organization dedicated to bringing cardiologists and nephrologists together to work on the emerging problem of cardiorenal syndromes. His works have appeared in the New England Journal of Medicine, Journal of the American Medical Association, Lancet, British Medical Journal and other top-tier journals worldwide. He is the editor-in-chief of Cardiorenal Medicine, Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, and senior associate editor of the American Journal of Cardiology. He serves on the editorial boards of multiple specialty journals. Dr. McCullough has made presentations on the advancement of medicine across the world and has been an invited lecturer at the New York Academy of Sciences, the National Institutes of Health, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the European Medicines Agency. He has served as member or chair of data safety monitoring boards of 24 randomized clinical trials.

★ Loading...

Reply

ADAM
MAY 27, 2021 AT 9:50 PM

This article attempts to marginalise Dr Mc Cullough based on the following;

1.believes that the impact of COVID-19 vaccines will be less than 1% because he says that the attack rate in the original clinical trials was less than 1%

Using Pfizer as an example. Vaccine cohort 8/22000 cases of covid-19. Placebo cohort 162/22,000 cases of covid-19. The vaccine then has 'saved' 154 people out of 22,000 from contracting covid-19. That equates to 0.7%. It seems the good doctor was too generous allowing 1%.

2. pushes the idea of artificially low vaccine efficacy rates… has he seen the reports about breakthrough infections?

One wonders if the author knows what a breakthrough infection is? The true efficacy of these vaccines outlined above is that they have reduced infection rates by less than 1%.

3. brings up the 1976 swine flu pandemic, saying the program was killed after 500 cases of GBS and 25 deaths, except for the fact that there was no evidence of swine flu transmission and that year had more to do with ending the program early more than anything else.

Did the swine flu vaccine cause 500 cases of GBS? Yes. What is GBS? Guillaine Barre Syndrome. What is it? Full or partial body paralysis. How long does it last? Typically anywhere between 6 – 18 months with the return of movement being gradual and in most sufferers they never fully recover. And lets not forget those 25 deaths caused by the vaccine. How is mentioning this historical fact which is clear evidence of the dangers associated with rushed development of vaccines somehow a black mark against his character?

4. tries to compare yearly flu vaccine deaths in VAERS to COVID-19 vaccine deaths in VAERS, apparently not understanding that COVID-19 vaccines initially went to older folks with the highest mortality rates.

So co-morbidities in the elderly are a factor we must consider when reviewing post-vaccine deaths but not when reviewing post covid-19 infection deaths. This obvious bias leads into the next issue which is …

5. pushes the idea of a "scrubbing" of COVID vaccine deaths and that the Trusted News Initiative, instead of combating fake news and misinformation, is somehow hiding the "truth" about COVID-19 vaccines because they are worried about vaccine hesitancy. I guess that's why they didn't publish stories about anything negative about vaccines, like blood clots and the Janssen vaccine pause?!? Oh wait, they did…

The evidence of media 'scrubbing' of vaccine deaths is evident in the above where you imply the age and co-morbidities of post vaccine deaths should mitigate the numbers but do not apply the same standard to post covid-19 infection deaths.

As to blood clots they are not openly reported. The only blood clots reported on are those assessed as TTS (associated with low platelet count). There are many more (as in thousands) of blood clots post-vaccine which are ignored because it is assumed they are not vaccine related. Blood clots with low platelets is so rare in normal life that the incidence following vaccination could not be easily dismissed.

6. states that the COVID-19 vaccines only provide narrow immunity against the original wild strain of SARS-CoV-2, I guess missing all of the studies that have shown that they provide immunity against most of the new variants.

The WHO, CDC and FDA amongst others have suggested this may very well be the case so his views here are quite mainstream.

7. even brings up the Nuremberg Code…

So? So what? You are really scraping the bottom of the barrel here. Are you claiming the Nuremberg Code is not a thing? This is completely irrelevant and a good indication this is a desperate hit piece.

8. and of course, Peter McCullough thinks that the SARS-CoV-2 virus is a manufactured virus, that Dr. Fauci was "at the scene of the crime," and that this was "probably bioterrorism that went wrong…"

Yes. Isn't the passage of time a wonderful thing. The former head of the CDC thinks this too. And now Dr Fauci concedes it may be the case. The Ecohealth, Peter Dzasak link is bubbling to the surface at this very moment and your hubris in this article is coming back to bite you …

★ Loading...

Reply

PAUL HOLME
JUNE 8, 2021 AT 6:29 AM

I am gratified to see this blatant hit piece refuted, point by point. That out-patient treatment has been deliberately blocked by officialdom is undeniable, also that the entire US population is being rudely stampeded into Covid-19 inoculations without the slightest regard for age- or health-related risk. Dr. McCullough is right to suggest that the entire aim of this artificial pandemic appears to be to inject and tag every man, woman and child with one or another experimental gene therapy, using every means available.

★ Loading...

Reply

NATHANIEL
JUNE 10, 2021 AT 1:00 PM

The person who wrote this article is a Quack!!! Politicized Medicine!! mRNA Therapies, such as the one being sold as the COVID vaccines, are not vaccines as defined by CDC, NIH, or the AMA. I went and read the definitions myself, because I heard conflicting information on what they actually are. They are genetic therapies, as defined, not vaccines. So, to be against the COVID Vaccine isn't anti-vax, its anti-gene therapy… and more, anti-experimental gene therapy. Because they are still experimental.

How about this???? Specific people, individually liable, executives and scientists of the companies producing these "vaccines", Doctors and medical organizations that issue the 'Vaccines", and the government official responsible for promoting and distributing these "vaccines" to the public, that they are legally liable for any and all damage, adverse affects, and death caused by the "Vaccines"? That all individuals responsible from the top down, be on the line (as it were) for potential civil and criminal liability and not protected from such.

IF these therapies are safe and effective, then justice will protect them. IF they are dangerous and/or harmful, then we have recourse against the entire industry to seek justice for the harm they caused. As it stands right now, no REAL recourse can take place. No one can be held liable should it turn out that the long term consequences of taking the experimental treatment have perminant and harmful effects beyond what is currently being witnessed.

This guy is saying, for your health and the health of others, even if you are not in an 'at risk' category, to take an experimental, almost totally untested, genetic therapy, that DOESN'T stop you from getting COVID-19, and DOESN"T prevent you from transmitting COVID-19, if infected, to other people, even if the other person received the same/similar treatment, and this is for your and other's health.???

QUACK!!!!!!!!!!

★ Loading...

Reply

What's New?

- COVID Vaccine Booster Doses
- Is It Too Late to Get a COVID Vaccine?
- Misinformation about COVID Tests
- Do Physician Groups Differ on Mask Guidance for School Children?
- Should Teens Get Just One Dose of a COVID Vaccine?
- Mass Vaccination is Not Creating COVID Variants
- Myths About a Door-to-Door COVID Vaccination Campaign?

Search Vaxopedia

Search …

Sort by Relevance

Categories

Select Category

Follow Vaxopedia via Email

Enter your email address to follow Vaxopedia and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Email Address

Follow Vaxopedia

Vaccines Work

autism benefits Bobby Kennedy Bob Sears conspiracy theories COVID-19 vaccine herd immunity measles deaths measles outbreaks propaganda risks safety shedding side effects toxins unvaccinated vaccine injury vaccine myths Vaccine Preventable Diseases VAERS

Follow me on Twitter

Tweets by @AboutPediatrics

Vincent Iannelli, MD @AboutPediatrics
Jacksonville mother loses 2 unvaccinated sons to COVID-19 in 12 hours bit.ly/3mnum5f

Jacksonville mother loses 2 sons to COVI...
A Jacksonville mother has lost two of her adu...
news4jax.com

7h

Vincent Iannelli, MD @AboutPediatrics
The reality of how #COVID19 is affecting children - a 3-year-old Oklahoma girl struggles to breathe battling COVID-19 bit.ly/3zb9mFN #tweetatrician



3-Year-Old Oklahoma Girl Str...
A video of a three-year-old Okla...
newson6.com

Aug 21, 2021

Vincent Iannelli, MD @AboutPediatrics
Lubbock's Luke Siegel; age 15 years, dies after battle with #COVID19 bit.ly/3kbf3pU #tweetatrician

Aug 20, 2021

Embed View on Twitter

Recommended Sites

- Deplatform Disease
- Epidemiological
- Human Factors
- I Speak of Dreams
- Left Brain Right Brain
- Logic of Science
- NDS for Vaccines
- NeuroLogica
- Nurses Who Vaccinate
- Respectful Insolence
- Shot of Prevention
- Skeptical OB
- Skeptical Raptor
- The Thinking Persons Guide to Autism
- The Vaccine Blog
- The Vaccine Mom

Shot of Prevention

Immunocompromised? You Might Need Another Dose of COVID Vaccine

Deplatform Disease

Antibody-Dependent Enhancement and COVID-19: Still No Evidence

Left Brain Right Brain

Flu vaccine given to pregnant women doesn't cause autism. Is anyone surprised?

Respectful Insolence

The violent rhetoric of the antivaccine movement, antimask COVID-19 update

Quoth an "integrative" functional medicine doc: "I'm not a quack, I'm an early adopter"

Epidemiological

I Get It. You're Scared.

Skeptical Raptor

I Speak of Dreams

COVID-19 Vaccinations: "Allergic reaction" or A Vagal Response?

Translate

Select Language

Powered by Google Translate

Vaxopedia RSS Feed

RSS - Posts

JOHN C.
JUNE 11, 2021 AT 12:36 PM

I've noticed a pattern in all the people this site seeks to minimize and slander – they're all vastly more accomplished and widely cited than the crank author of this website.

★ Loading...

Reply

JOSEFINA HILL
JUNE 11, 2021 AT 3:25 PM

How come there was NEVER a discussion and push for early treatment by ANY doctor except McCullough? How come doctors suppressed early treatment therefore causing so many unnecessary deaths? We are going to find out and some people are going to pay for this. Small fauci should be chastised if not sent to jail for all the deaths that he is responsible. He has been amassing a fortune on this so called pandemic. As have some others such as bill gates.

★ Loading...

Reply

DAN BUELER
JUNE 12, 2021 AT 8:45 PM

The author of this goofy hit piece should be ashamed. Unless Vincent Iannelli is a young child who researched a couple of Yahoo! News stories before writing this schlock, he has NO excuse for peddling these overt untruths. You're on the wrong side, Vincent. Try to restore your conscience. Don't hand over your discernment and ethical standards so easily–even if all your friends tell you to.

★ Loading...

Reply

JOHNNY
JUNE 13, 2021 AT 2:46 PM

A quick search on Google Scholar, which presumably our humble author used, shows that McCullough has been cited tens of thousands of times. Why the misleading? A few examples:

"Combined Angiotensin Inhibition for the Treatment of Diabetic Nephropathy" has 620 citations. "Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease" has 4929 citations.

Why the misleading?

★ Loading...

Reply

TOPMONEYDOG
JUNE 17, 2021 AT 12:04 AM

Clearly, the author of this article has most likely been paid to continue a dead and false narrative while attempting to discredit a good Dr. whose credibility, obviously, is well beyond the author's league. Truth has a funny habit of surfacing, and thus has made this author a shining example of a Fool.

★ Loading...

Reply

DAVID S VEGA
JULY 2, 2021 AT 1:27 PM

Wonder who's paying you to write this bullshit. You're the real fake here. Numbers where exaggerated from the beginning false positives were not removed from the positive results to keep numbers high. My brother in law who tested positive in NYC was told to go home and only return if his symptoms worsened. My sister treated him with vitamin d,c,Zinc, aspirin and natural medicine. He became I'll and is asthmatic called the hospital a second time and was told to stay home and that if his breathing problems became worst to then go to the ER. She continued to treat him and he recoverd after 10 days. No thanks to hospitals and doctors who didn't even try to treat it until it was too late. How many people were told the same thing as my brother in law and by the time they went to the hospital it was straight to the ICU and days later a body bag. Doctors like you are responsible for thousands of death's. Irresponsible POS.

★ Loading...

Reply

DEMOLITION MAN
JULY 6, 2021 AT 8:09 PM

A pathetic ad hominem attack on a doctor with a stunning medical record who was right from the start – the virus WAS manipulated in the Wuhan lab. Everyone who paid attention to scientific publications knew that for over a year. The number of Covid deaths was widely exaggerated. Treatments that absolutely work are being suppressed (HCQ, Ivermectin) with FAKE studies that had to be withdrawn.
There's something extremely sinister going on and you participate in it!
You are part of the biggest crime committed against humanity.

Money is only a minuscule part of it. The fact that there are now 9 new billionaires who made their money with these alleged anti-Covid "vaccines" is almost irrelevant compated to what's playing out behind the curtain. Vaccine profilts cannot explain why so many healthcare professionals submit to absolutely absurd rules and conditions.

Every single doctor who accepts NOT to treat patients with medication that is known to work violates his hypocratic oath.

Just to let you know what kind of thin line you are walking here: the official WHO representative from India is currently being prosecuted with a demand for the death penalty for having advised against the use of Ivermectin and thus having caused the deaths of thousands.

Ivermectin is now officially distributed in India.

The Czech Republic started using Ivermectin in March and promptly saw a massive reduction of Covid deaths.

So tell us again: WHY are you lying?

★ Loading...

Reply

BRIAN BACON
JULY 6, 2021 AT 10:22 PM

I have listened to several discussions with Dr. McCullough as well as Dr.'s Bhakdi, Bridle, Hodkinson, Yeadon, Wittkowski and others. These are all eminent medical doctors or scientists with impeccable credentials and broad clinical experience. They have nothing to gain from taking the positions that they have. In many cases they have suffered significant loss of opportunity and been subjected to relentless invective and personal attacks in the mainstream media and websites such as this one. I am not a medical professional but a significant amount of what they say is old-fashioned common sense that resonates with my own understanding having read a lot about this issue. God bless all of them for daring to speak the truth in spite of the consequences.

★ Loading...

Reply

RN
JULY 23, 2021 AT 12:31 AM

Vincent, I appreciate the good work that you're doing here. Obviously, a coordinated anti-vaxx attack on your post has occurred.

But as somebody who actually understands the science on this issue, I can recognize that you recognize the utter BS that McCullough is spewing. He either failed Biology 101 (and faked his way into his job) or is willfully spouting false information.

I've seen some of his other, recent interviews, and in the course of an hour, nearly every single thing that he said was factually wrong. He can't possibly be this dumb, which makes me think that he has other reason$ for doing this. It's sad, and it's dangerous.

Keep up the good fight. And I encourage you to reach out to his employer, the Texas Medical Board, and Dallas media to expose this huckster.

★ Loading...

Reply

CCRRT
AUGUST 2, 2021 AT 12:48 PM

Give one statement from Dr. McCullough that is wrong. Not only is he a leading cardiologist at a teaching hospital, he is among the most published in the country. You are wrong about Dr. McCullough but correct to follow the $$. Keep down that rabbit trail.

★ Loading...

Reply

NATHANIEL
JULY 23, 2021 AT 10:54 AM

Coordinated huh…? LOL. Yup, we are back here planning it all out and being told what to do by our corporate overloads. Oh, wait!!!, the pharmaceutical Corporations are the biggest money… I guess we are on the wrong side.

What makes Dr. McCullough wrong? Please give me an example. I have personally check many of the thing Dr. McCullough stated, and it checks out. Not just me, but other MDs and Ph'Ds. Vincent won't reach out to Texas Medical, because he lacks credibility and standing to do so.

Interesting to note, the inventor of the current mRNA "vaccine" technology is sounding the alarms on this current "vaccine", which it is not (it is a therapy by definition)…. are you telling me the inventor of our modern "vaccine" technology is ANTI-VAXX??

Informed consent is just that, to be informed of EVERY POSSIBLE side-effect (BY LAW) prior to getting any medical treatment, vaccine, medicine, surgery, etc, whether you are at risk for complications, or if the risk should be taken based on risk factors of contracting the disease. Under 60, COVID-19/Wuhan Virus is not a threat any more than the average Flu. Over 60, than with the increased risks, getting a "vaccine" or mRNA Genetic Therapy may be prudent, but it still should be an informed choice and that choice should always be honored. Right now, it is currently an un-tested experimental trial. Though Massive in scale, it is still not approved. Even if approved, the FDA doesn't have the greatest of track records.

Would you call me ANTI-VAX?? I am 'Pro-Choice". "Pro-Choice" is not anti-vaxx. My body, my Choice. What goes into it is my body is my choice and my choice alone. Anything else is tyranny. People by LAW, must be informed of ALL POSSIBLE SIDE EFFECTS before being given any drug , vaccine, or treatment. If you are worried about getting the COLD, than get the "Vaccine". I know the "Vaccine" doesn't stop you from getting the disease and it doesn't stop you from transmitting the disease, but if it make you feel safer, by all means, get the "vaccine". I am not responsible, nor is anyone responsible, for their neighbor or other people they meet in their lives. People who don't want to get the cold, should go get the "Vaccine", but it is not our responsibility, as it wasn't with the regular cold/flu to prevent others from getting it, but it was respectful to take measure not to spread it, should you have a regular cold or flu. COVID/Wuhan Virus is only an uncommon Cold. FACT! Its a Cold Virus, pure and simple. SARS was an uncommon Cold as well. Its a virus, and it can be deadly. We have immune systems, well most of us do, and we need to let it work for the whole of humanity. It is far more effective in the long run than our technology, though our technology can work along side to supplement and eradicate diseases.

Get a SECOND OPINION. Be informed! Make your OWN choice. That is THE LAW! Anyone who disagrees with that, is a criminal and is anti-freedom/liberty. PERIOD. Safety NEVER trumps Liberty. Safety is not possible without Liberty.

★ Loading...

Reply

Leave a Reply

Enter your comment here...

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. Learn how your comment data is processed.

Copyright © 2021 VAXOPEDIA | Powered by Astra WordPress Theme